The “Risky Strength” of Anxious Attachment: Sensitivity, Regulation, and Emotional Intelligence

Jiho Shin

Abstract

This literature review examines whether, and under what circumstances, anxious attachment can enhance elements of emotional intelligence (EI) and social adaptability, while also explaining its liabilities. Framing attachment as dimensional rather than categorical, the review integrates behavioral findings, neuroimaging evidence (fMRI studies implicating the amygdala, anterior insula, and anterior cingulate cortex during social evaluation and feedback), and contemporary models (evolutionary/group-adaptive accounts and attachment–personality extensions). The value of the study comes from a dimensional understanding of anxious attachment, finding comprehensive ways to integrate insecure attachment styles into survival strategies in the fields of childhood development, personal therapy, and education. Methodologically, peer-reviewed psychology and human neuroscience studies were literature reviewed. The paper prioritized work that focused on (a) attachment anxiety, (b) EI-relevant outcomes, and (c) neural correlates of social and emotional processing. The structure of the paper will follow (1) Overview of attachment theory and EI, (2) benefits of anxious attachment in threat detection and empathic accuracy, (3) drawbacks of anxious attachment on social behavior, (4) implications and future directions. Studies showed that anxious attachment is associated with heightened detection of emotional cues, especially in emotionally charged or interpersonally threatening settings: characteristics that can support context-specific EI. However, the same hyperreactivity predicts costs in low-affect or boundary-sensitive settings: greater susceptibility to emotional overload, defensive responses to feedback, and inconsistent regulation. Synthesis of therapeutic and educational implications suggests shifting from a deficit reduction stance to a strength-oriented approach that (x) channels hypervigilance into empathy and communication skills, (y) trains strategies to prevent emotional overload, and (z) designs learning and group tasks where diverse attachment styles are advantageous. The review concludes that anxious attachment presents both advantages and risks; future longitudinal and experimental work should test spectrum-based, context-specific interventions that focus on sensitivity while strengthening consistency, regulation, and boundaries.

Imagine a dangerous situation, such as an earthquake or fire in the building. While some people may hesitate, being able to sense the danger early and act would be a crucial skill to have. Such hyper-perceptiveness or anxiety is a common trait for insecure-anxiously attached individuals. These individuals may be dismissed as neurotic in daily life, yet in emotionally charged situations, their heightened sensitivity becomes a social asset. This captures the paradox of anxious attachment: it is often seen as a psychological vulnerability, but under certain conditions, it may enhance emotional and social functioning.

This research paper aims to explore this apparent paradox through the lenses of psychology and neuroscience. Can anxious attachment enhance emotional intelligence (EI) and social adaptability through increased neural activation in empathy-related brain regions?

1.1 Psychological Theories of Attachment

The attachment theory comes from the 1979 study “Strange Situations”, categorizing attachment styles into a total of four different categories. First proposed by Bowlby (1988) and further explored through Ainsworth (1978)’s “Strange Situation” study, it became the basis of understanding human relationships and its long lasting effects. The study determined two primary attachment styles: secure and insecure (Ainsworth, 1978). Then, insecure attachment is divided into three categories: anxious, avoidant and disorganized (Cleveland Clinic, 2023). These attachment styles are determined in early childhood through interactions with caregivers. This interaction is crucial in shaping emotional regulation, interpersonal relationships and coping mechanisms. Secure attachment is marked by comfort in relationships and emotional regulation, while anxious attachment is associated with overdependence and emotional distress. Avoidant attachment features emotional distance and fear of rejection, and disorganized attachment stems from trauma, leading to erratic emotional responses and impaired concentration (Cleveland Clinic, 2023). This paper will focus on anxious attachment which is traditionally viewed as maladaptive, disrupting emotional regulation and individual identity formation (Cleveland Clinic, 2023).

Emotional intelligence (EI) is defined as the capacity to identify, manage, and respond to one’s own and others’ emotions (Mayer et al., 2004). Key traits of EI include communication, empathy, and respect to social boundaries. These abilities directly associate with social adaptability, defined as the flexibility to adjust behaviors in response to different social situations through emotional regulation.

Even though EI and social adaptability may seem closely intertwined, there is a clear difference. High EI indicates efficiency in regulating emotions, understanding emotional dynamics, embracing feedback, and resolving conflicts. This connects with empathetic accuracy, which is measured by an individual's ability to accurately assess and evaluable other’s feelings. On the other hand, social adaptability leans towards how these characteristics play into the real-life situation, emphasizing an individual's ability to engage with others. Thus, excessive emotional reactivity, common in anxious attachment, can impair boundary regulation and make individuals vulnerable in low-emotion or high-demand environments (Teneggi et al., 2023).

1.2 Neurological Underpinnings of Attachment

Examining the neural mechanisms underlying emotion processing can help us understand how attachment styles shape responses to emotional situations. Neuroscience research reveals that the following brain regions are associated with emotion and empathetic accuracy:

- The *amygdala* (emotional salience, fear detection)
- The *anterior insula* (internal emotional awareness, ambiguity)
- The *anterior cingulate cortex (ACC)* (social error monitoring, empathy processing) (Zhao et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2018)

These regions are more strongly activated in individuals with anxious attachment, especially during emotionally intense or socially threatening contexts (Zhao et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2018).

2. A More Nuanced Approach to Understanding Anxious Attachment

As stated above, the goal of this paper is to explore the relationship between anxious attachment and emotional intelligence. Specifically, by looking at both how anxious attachment may enhance or disbenefit one's emotional intelligence and pro-social behaviors, I aim to gain a more nuanced understanding of the attachment style.

Anxious attachment is commonly defined as the psychological aftermath of fear of abandonment due to inconsistent parental care, resulting in difficulty of trusting, craving for external validation and strong desire to be close or clinging (Strand et al., 2019).

Even though these traits seem unhealthy at its surface, these traits both offer benefits and drawbacks in different contexts. Psychologically, anxious attachment individuals demonstrate enhanced empathic accuracy and threat detecting behaviors, especially in emotionally intense or ambiguous contexts (Seo et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2018). Similarly, neurological research shows that anxiously attached individuals exhibit neural hyperactivation in social processing regions such as the amygdala, anterior insula, and anterior cingulate cortex (ACC; Zhao et al., 2020). These benefits, however, are highly context-dependent and may backfire in non-extreme cases (Teneggi et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2023). Moreover, inconsistent emotional management may lead to anti-social behavior as defensiveness towards criticism and lack of awareness towards personal boundaries (Teneggi et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2023).

The hyperactivated brain regions in r anxious attachment also present a nuanced view. As it could offer a neural explanation for heightened emotional intelligence, it also explains why anxious individuals may falter in calmer, boundary-sensitive settings (Wang et al., 2023; Jaremka et al., 2013).

In summary, recent research has shown anxious attachment may offer benefits as well as drawbacks, and balancing these traits may signify the role of anxious attachment in human development and social bonding.

2.1 Benefits of Anxious Attachment

Anxiously attached individuals are best known for having fear of rejection and abandonment. Even though these traits are psychologically draining and may lead to various cognitive impairments (Cleveland Clinic, 2023), research shows that anxious attachment also makes individuals highly perceptive (Ein-Dor et al., 2016). Anxiously attached individuals constantly scan their surroundings, striving to decode the emotional context and dynamics of others, adjusting to it for external validation.

Ein-Dor and Gilad Hirschberger explored this concept thoroughly in their 2016 paper. The article revisits traditional views of attachment and argues that their primary function is to promote survival, not maladaptation (Ein-Dor & Hirschberger, 2016). This perspective originates from the Social Defense Theory (SDT), which is an extension of the attachment theory (Ein-Dor et al., 2010). SDT asserts that attachment differences are a form of evolutionary choice and adaptation. In the case of anxious attachment, for example, when faced with danger, those individuals can seek support from others and significantly improve their ability to deal with threat through effective cooperation, using the strength of numbers to their favor (Axelrod & Hamilton, 1981).

To test if anxious attachment improves people's ability to deal with threats, Ein-Dor and colleagues conducted three social experiments (Ein-Dor & Hischerger, 2016). The first study conducted was the smoke room experiment. Multiple participants with different attachment styles (anxious, avoidant, secure) were placed in a room with unharmful smoke slowly coming from the next room. The researchers measured how people with different attachment styles reacted to this. Anxious individuals not only detected the threat rapidly and repeatedly, but they also delivered a warning message without delay (Ein-Dor & Orgad, 2012).

The second experiment was conducted similarly to the smoke room experiment but with the addition of social pressure. Participants were placed in a room with an instructor (the authority), performing a fake group-decision making task. Among the participants, an actor brought a dangerous looking object that seemed out of place. As participants were instructed to listen to the authority and actively participate in the task, the actor created a mild but noticeable sense of uncertainty or unease. However, when speaking up, they would disobey the instructions and risk being seen as abnormal (Ein-Dor & Hirschberger, 2016). Surprisingly, the results showed that anxiously attached participants were most likely to report the actor to the instructor, going against the common assumption that anxious attachment makes individuals conform to the social norms. A similar threat detecting tendency was observed in the third experiment where participants were shown a recording of poker players. In the video, some players were bluffing to trick their opponent, and others were telling the truth. When instructed to find the bluffer, anxiously attached individuals performed significantly better (Ein-Dor & Hirschberger, 2016). Overall, these findings support the idea that not only is anxious attachment a survival strategy but it heightens a person's emotional intelligence and social adaptability in certain contexts. Anxiously attached individuals prioritized group safety over conforming to social pressure, a sign of high EI and social flexibility.

Emotional intelligence is also closely related to empathetic accuracy, the ability to assess and evaluate how others feel (Lesley University, 2025). Simpson et al (2011) examined empathetic accuracy of attachment styles through two studies. In each study, romantic couples were instructed to have a conversation on relationship problems in either intense or non-intense scenarios. The discussion was videotaped and was shown to each couple individually. They were instructed to pause the video every few seconds, outlining their thoughts on what their partner may have been thinking or feeling at those moments. Then, the assessment results

were compared to the reports given by the partner, describing their own emotions, to assess empathetic accuracy. In other words, how accurately can people evaluate what was going through their partner's head. In non-intense situations or "everyday conversations", anxiously attached individuals didn't show significantly better performance in empathetic accuracy. In contrast, their accuracy was dramatically higher when put into a more dynamic and intense situation (Simpson et al., 2011). This supports the assumption that anxiously attached individuals are better able to cope with other's feelings due to being sensitive to social cues. However, these benefits may be limited to specific situations.

Simpson et al. (2011) suggested that these results show the revised model of empathetic accuracy. Piercing others emotions isn't necessarily about the ability itself but the motivation and context is crucial (Simpson et al., 2011). Thus, anxious attachment helped these individuals to manage their accuracy based on their goals of being intimate. Furthermore, this study directly supports that anxious attachment can enhance emotional intelligence in specific, emotionally salient contexts. However, it highlights a key nuance: the increased empathic performance is context-driven, threat-sensitive, and motivated by insecurity, rather than general emotional stability.

One may question how exactly these attachment styles influence the brain function. Even though the behavioral characteristics of anxious attachment, such as a fear of rejection and abandonment, explains the resulting consequences, they don't explain the process of complex human mind gaining hypersensitivity and efficiency in emotional detection. This can be elaborated by examining the neurological factors of anxious attachment.

Zhang et al. (2020) conducted an fMRI study to investigate how different attachment styles are reflected in the brain's response to social feedback. Known as functional magnetic resonance imaging, fMRI is a neuroimaging technique that uses a strong magnetic field and radio waves to create detailed images of the brain while it is active (Cleveland Clinic, 2023). The researchers found that anxious attachment was associated with greater activation in the anterior insula and amygdala when processing emotionally salient stimuli (Zhang et al., 2020). These regions are commonly linked to emotional salience, threat detection, and empathy. Importantly, the study supports the idea that attachment styles are not just behavioral traits but are embedded in distinct neural processing patterns. This provides strong evidence that the neurobiological underpinnings of anxious attachment may facilitate emotional intelligence in high-stakes or emotionally charged contexts, though this advantage may be highly context-dependent and emotionally taxing in the long term.

In another study by Zhang et al (2018), they investigated how adult attachment styles influence brain activity during tasks that require inferring others' preferences, especially under ambiguous or uncertain conditions. Participants were shown social scenarios where they had to determine what another person might prefer, based on vague or limited information. Two primary brain regions were activated during these tasks: the anterior insula (AI) and the inferior parietal lobule (IPL), both of which are associated with processing uncertainty, emotional salience, and empathy (Zhang et al., 2018).

The behavioral data also supported the task design: participants responded faster to unambiguous preference scenarios ($M = 1984.38$ ms, $SD = 210.42$) compared to non-social control conditions ($M = 2950.13$ ms, $SD = 326.92$), $F(1,55) = 103.98$, $p < 0.0001$. That is, participants were 32.7% faster in responding to social scenarios. Similarly, they were 20% more accurate in the unambiguous condition ($M = 88.07\%$, $SD = 11.90$) versus control ($M = 68.25\%$, $SD = 16.11$), $F(1,55) = 83.20$, $p < 0.0001$ (Zhang et al., 2018).

The data showed distinct brain activation patterns between groups. Compared to securely attached individuals, anxious participants showed significantly stronger activation in the left inferior parietal lobule (IPL) at MNI coordinates (-60, -33, 36) and the left middle frontal gyrus at (-45, 42, 21). Both regions of the brain are involved in social cognition and executive processing. Conversely, securely attached participants exhibited greater activation in the right middle temporal gyrus (69, -9, -9) and left superior frontal gyrus (-9, 54, 30), regions linked to mentalizing, empathy, and self-awareness (Zhang et al., 2018). These contrasting results suggest that anxious individuals may rely more on cognitive effort when inferring social preferences, meaning that they analyze the situation based on logic. On the other hand, securely attached individuals may recruit more intuitive, but more ambiguous processing.

Additionally, a reverse contrast analysis revealed that anxious attachment was associated with significant activation in several emotion and language-related brain regions. These included the left inferior frontal gyrus (-54, 21, 6), left superior temporal gyrus (-57, -63, 18; -51, -18, -3), and left middle temporal gyrus (-51, -9, -27; 69, -21, -18). These areas are typically involved in emotional cue interpretation, speech comprehension, and social evaluation (Zhang et al., 2018).

This suggests that anxiously attached individuals may process ambiguous social information through deeper emotional and linguistic channels. However, no significant neural differences were found between anxious and avoidant groups, suggesting that anxious attachment may manifest in context sensitive neural activation. These findings scientifically outline how anxiously attached individuals have alterations in their brain regions, granting them different strategies to cope with social ambiguity and emotional processing.

Together, these studies suggest that anxious attachment offers benefits in sensitivity to social cues and group survival. Thus, some cultures go beyond merely acknowledging these benefits. Cross-cultural psychological studies have shown that many collectivist cultures, a culture that values the group over intellectuality, intentionally foster this attachment style (Strad et al., 2019). In Bali, the “Borrowed baby game” is a parenting strategy where mothers intentionally ignore their own child and show attention to another child, encouraging child security-seeking behaviors. These kinds of practices lead to anxiety and uncertainty, reinforcing anxious attachment- reassuring that the child is royal and intimate with their caregiver and community (Strand et al., 2019). Similarly, some African countries perform rituals such as giving enemas or making infants drink water before breastfeeding in order to cause stress. The temporary stress leads children to increase attentional focus on caregivers, making them dependent on the authoritative figure (Strand et al., 2019).

Though these measures may seem extreme, anxiety towards abandonment or dependency on others naturally heightens one’s ability to process social information effectively. This perfectly aligns with the previous findings as it presents a real life example of how humans have evolved to favour this specific attachment style, despite its drawbacks.

2.2 Drawbacks of anxious attachment

It is clear that anxious attachment leads to sensitivity to social cues, ability to react efficiently, and hyperactivation in empathy related brain regions. However, findings suggest that this doesn't necessarily link anxious attachment with emotional intelligence. In a study by Erin B. Tone and Erin C. Tully (2015), the risk of overly activated and unregulated empathy is highlighted, emphasizing that the ability to react to emotions and pro-social behavior are two

different things (Tone & Tully, 2015). While anxious attachment often increases emotional sensitivity, it can lead to hypervigilance, not necessarily compassion.

Decety (2010) proposes a dual process model explaining empathy arousal; it is interaction between early developing, automatic bottom-up systems (e.g., amygdala, insula), and later developing top-down regulatory systems (e.g., dorsolateral and prefrontal cortex). Therefore, when regulatory maturation lags behind affective reactivity this balance can become dysregulated.

In such cases, individuals may experience hyperactivation in response to others' suffering, but without the cognitive resources to respond accordingly. This may result in personal distress, characterized by self-oriented anxiety and withdrawal, rather than other-oriented concern. The study also found out that adolescents are especially vulnerable to this empathic overload. Decety (2010) suggests that unchecked hyperactivation and sensitivity towards emotional processing may lead to various symptoms such as anxiety, depression, or maladaptive guilt, undermining the prosocial and regulatory competencies that define emotional intelligence.

Thus, empathy in isolation can lead to distortions in perception, inhibit effective decision-making, and even compromise mental health. This reframes empathy not only as mere emotion detection, but also as the ability to respond efficiently and flexibly to other's emotions and situations. Individuals with anxious attachment, who mostly grew up in isolation with fear of abandonment, naturally lack these social skills. In summary, maladaptive behaviors presented by anxious attachment may overshadow the cognitive benefits it may offer.

Neurological findings also hint towards negative effects of anxious attachment. Previous studies have shown that anxious attachment makes individuals' empathy related brain regions more sensitive to social cues. Central to this process is the amygdala, a brain structure that governs fear, threat detection, and emotional salience (Kirsch et al., 2005; Meyer-Lindenberg et al., 2011). However, recent studies show that activation of amygdala may hurt individuals when it comes to emotional intelligence.

The explanation comes from a neuropeptide strongly tied to empathy and prosocial behavior: Oxytocin (Kirsch et al., 2005; Meyer-Lindenberg et al., 2011). What is interesting, however, is that oxytocin is known to reduce amygdala activation. In fact, oxytocin facilitates social bonding precisely because it counteracts the overactivation of fear circuits, allowing individuals to engage more openly in social contexts (Kirsch et al., 2005; Meyer-Lindenberg et al., 2011). The finding suggests that excessive emotional reactivity — characteristic of anxious individuals — may actually interfere with deeper emotional regulation and connectivity. This aligns with the idea that emotional detection doesn't necessarily lead to emotional intelligence.

Similarly, a study conducted by Inon Zuckerman, Ilan Laufer, and Dor Mizrahi in 2023 investigated how anxious attachment affects the brain's response to feedback. Researchers recruited participants and categorized them based on their attachment style using the Experiences in Close Relationships (ECR) questionnaire. Participants then received both positive and negative social feedback during an experimental task, and their brain activity was recorded in real time. The study focused on specific ERP components, notably the P200 and Late Positive Potential (LPP), which are known to be associated with attentional allocation and emotional salience processing. The key finding was that individuals with higher anxious attachment exhibited stronger neural responses to negative feedback, reflected in heightened P200 and LPP amplitudes. These ERP components are typically enhanced when the brain detects emotionally salient or threatening information, suggesting that anxiously attached

individuals are neurologically hyper-attuned to rejection, criticism, or disapproval (Zuckerman at al., 2023).

Emotional intelligence involves the ability to regulate emotional responses and process interpersonal cues in a constructive, meaningful way. In this study, the neurophysiological evidence shows that anxious attachment may impair an individual's capacity to receive and integrate feedback without emotional overload. The increased neural reactivity interferes with self-regulation and even distorts perception, leading individuals to be defensive towards growth oriented feedback. From a neural perspective, the results support the idea that anxious attachment is associated with cognitive-emotional hypersensitivity, particularly in social evaluation contexts. However, this may limit adaptive functioning in everyday interactions.

The previously described drawbacks of anxious attachment don't necessarily mean that anxiously attached individuals are incapable of showing emotional care and reaction. In fact, many studies have shown that anxiously attached individuals can analyze and evaluate other people's internal struggles and emotional state, responding quickly and appropriately. Even so, more recent behavioral psychology studies show mixed results, showing that this inconsistency is the key reason why anxious attachment can't be viewed as an adaptive strategy. Studies show that while securely attached individuals demonstrated stable empathy, those with anxious attachment showed fluctuating levels of empathic behavior, depending on context and social threat (De Santis et al., 2022). Sometimes they displayed high emotional attunement; other times, they withdrew or overreacted. This inconsistency suggests that emotional intelligence in anxiously attached individuals is fragile and context-dependent.

While anxious individuals might notice emotional signals faster, their intense, often distorted emotional interpretations could compromise their ability to act on those cues constructively, a core function of emotional intelligence. This positions anxious attachment less as a strength and more as a neurocognitive liability in the context of emotional functioning, rather than an absolute advantage.

2.3 Summary/ Anxious Attachment Offers Both Strengths and Liabilities

In summation, the correlation between anxious attachment and emotional intelligence is complex and multifaceted.

Research by Ein-Dor et al. (2016) and Simpson et al. (2011) showed that anxiously attached individuals react sensitively to emotional projections by others in various, real life contexts, showing empathetic accuracy. Their findings suggested that as anxious individuals constantly seek for validation, they naturally mastered the skill to read and assess subtle social cues and emotions. This effect was most prominent in emotionally demanding situations where many securely attached individuals became overwhelmed. Zhang et al. (2020) support this advantage of anxious attachment through neurological study involving fMRI scans. The study presented that anxious individuals exhibit higher levels of activations in empathy related brain regions such as amygdala. More close analysis revealed that anxious attachment fosters more logical and cohesive brain mechanisms of understanding emotions, making the results more consistent and accurate compared to other attachment styles.

Conversely, other researches suggest that even with the cognitive leverages that anxious attachment offers, empathetic accuracy doesn't always lead to benefit and pro-social behavior. Decety (2010) indicates that anxious attachment often leads to personal distress, defensiveness, and poor feedback processing, the key traits for emotional intelligence and

healthy interpersonal relationships. Neurochemical findings further paint the picture: oxytocin's reduction of amygdala reactivity (Kirsch et al., 2005; Meyer-Lindenberg et al., 2011) suggests that the fear in anxious attachment makes it difficult for intimate social bonding unless demanded. Moreover, even with accurate emotional assessment presented by anxious attachment, it is rarely translated into an action to resolve the situation or offer comfort.

Thus, anxious attachment is best understood as a double-edged sword, capable of keen detection but prone to dysregulation. This raises the question: what implications could this have in the future of understanding attachment and emotional intelligence?

3. Implications

Rather anxious attachment benefits or disadvantages emotional intelligence, previous findings strongly suggest that the traditional view of insecure attachment may be flawed. Despite inconsistent emotional regulations demonstrated by anxiously attached individuals, it is clear that anxious attachment offers benefits such as emotional sensitivity, empathetic accuracy, and threat detection. This challenges the black and white perspective towards attachment theory where secure attachment is viewed as prominent and insecure attachment is viewed as a flaw to be addressed and erased.

One field that may benefit from the new perspective towards attachment is clinical therapy. Evidence suggests a more empowering framing: instead of dampening secure attachment, therapy can help anxiously attached individuals leverage their neural hyper-vigilance as a strength and survival strategy. Chen and Mallinckrodt's (2015) Attachment Personality Model (APM) emphasizes this reframing by proposing that anxious attachment must be broken down into different dimensions, rather than to be viewed having one cohesive effect. The subtypes are ambivalent and disorganized patterns. These subtypes are not simply pathological; they reflect adapted survival strategies shaped by early environments. For example, the heightened threat detection of anxious attachment is viewed as a personality trait that individuals adapted for survival. Rather than applying a universal, corrective approach aimed at "resolving" attachment insecurity, APM advocates for customized therapeutic interventions based on an individual's underlying neurological and behavioral profile. By aligning treatment with these profiles, the APM shifts therapy from deficit-based correction to strength-based development (Chen & Mallinckrodt, 2015).

The 2022 article written by Guy Diamond, Gary M. Diamond, and Suzanne Levy expands this idea further. The article proposes a practical therapeutic framework of Attachment-Based Family Therapy (ABFT). ABFT does not aim to eliminate any preexisting traits such as attachment anxiety. Instead, it focuses on utilizing the social sensitivity to build a sustainable relationship between the patient and the care giver (Diamond et al, 2022). Through emotion-focused dialogue and validation, ABFT transforms the individual's hyper-awareness of rejection into a tool for building empathy within key relationships.

These new approaches to therapy and attachment hints for the future direction of how insecure attachment could be viewed clinically. The APM and ABFT offers an insight into the ways to change empathetic accuracy and threat detection into emotional intelligence through an organic process of creating intimacy and trust. In other words, shifting from a deficit reduction stance to a strength-oriented approach.

Implication of anxious attachment doesn't stop in clinical science, however. Recent studies show that advantages of anxious attachment may be applied into educational settings

and fostering healthy group dynamics. Ein-Dor et al. (2010) propose a reframing of attachment behaviors through the lens of evolutionary group survival, suggesting that anxiously attached individuals play a valuable role in detecting danger and mobilizing group action.

Applied to educational settings, this perspective implies that anxiously attached students may thrive in environments that demand vigilance, rapid social inference, or emotional attunement such as group projects, peer conflict mediation, emotionally engaged discussions, or crisis simulations (Ein-Dor et al., 2010). Their heightened sensitivity to nonverbal cues, quick emotional reactivity, and tendency to seek support could help the group maintain balance without discords.

Additionally, the article emphasizes the importance of attachment diversity within groups, asserting that classrooms or learning cohorts may be more resilient when mixed attachment types are present. Educators can use this insight to better design group based projects and tasks, as well as understanding how to foster each individual's attachment trait as an advantage rather than to silence it. This also supports the broader implication that educational frameworks should avoid one-size-fits-all labels, and instead recognize how certain “maladaptive” traits may have hidden strengths in the right context. Teachers, counselors, and learning specialists can adapt practices to not suppress anxious traits, but channel them toward high-empathy leadership, creative collaboration, or real-time feedback systems within the classroom.

4. Conclusion

Attachment theory has provided a framework for human behavior and relationship development for many decades. While secure attachment, fostered through intimate connection results in consistent, well tuned emotional expressions, insecure attachment is often viewed negatively. In the case of anxious attachment, individuals show clinginess and poor self regulation due to the fear of rejection and abandonment by their caregivers. However, recent cognitive psychology and neuroscience research provides compelling evidence that anxious attachment may offer more than was previously thought. Anxious attachment gives the cognitive leverage to detect emotions and problems to efficiently address them through fear driven motivation and neurological hyperactivity. However, it is also shown that this leverage may not directly lead to emotional intelligence where comprehensive and consistent action is required, a trait that anxious individuals often struggle with. Despite the contrasting findings, both sides hint for a more comprehensive understanding of the attachment theory and its effects on prosocial behavior. The implications suggest that rather than approaching insecure-anxious attachment as an obstacle, personalized therapy and attachment education systems could find ways to use it as a personal strength. Clinicians and educators should adopt a regulation-first, strength-based approach that channels hypervigilance into calibrated empathy, clearer boundaries, and prosocial actions.

References

Ainsworth, M. D. S., Blehar, M. C., Waters, E., & Wall, S. (1978). Patterns of attachment: A psychological study of the strange situation. Lawrence Erlbaum. https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/mono/10.4324/9781315802428/patterns-attachment-ainsworth-blehar-waters-wall

Axelrod, R., & Hamilton, W. D. (1981). The evolution of cooperation. Science, 211(4489), 1390–1396. https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/science.7466396

Bowlby, J. (1988). A secure base: Parent-child attachment and healthy human development. Basic Books/Routledge. https://archive.org/details/securebase00john

Cleveland Clinic. (2023, August 4). Attachment Styles. https://my.clevelandclinic.org/health/articles/25170-attachment-styles

Cleveland Clinic. (2023, May 27). Functional MRI (fMRI). Retrieved from https://my.clevelandclinic.org/health/diagnostics/25034-functional-mri-fmri

Decety, J. (2010). The neurodevelopment of empathy in humans. Developmental Neuroscience, 32(4), 257–267. https://greatergood.berkeley.edu/images/uploads/dne0032-0257.pdf

Ein-Dor, T., & Hirschberger, G. (2016). Rethinking attachment theory: From a theory of relationships to a theory of individual and group survival. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 25(4), 223–227. https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721416650684

Ein-Dor, T., Mikulincer, M., Doron, G., & Shaver, P. R. (2010). The attachment paradox: How can so many of us (the insecure ones) have no adaptive advantages? Perspectives on Psychological Science, 5(2), 123–141. https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691610362349

Ein-Dor, T., Mikulincer, M., & Shaver, P. R. (2011). Effective reaction to danger: Attachment insecurities predict behavioral reactions to an experimentally induced threat above and beyond general personality traits. Social Psychological and Personality Science, 2(5), 467–473. https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/1948550610397843

Kirsch, P., Esslinger, C., Chen, Q., Mier, D., Lis, S., Siddhanti, S., Gruppe, H., Mattay, V. S., Gallhofer, B., & Meyer-Lindenberg, A. (2005). Oxytocin modulates neural circuitry for social cognition and fear in humans. The Journal of Neuroscience, 25(49), 11489–11493. https://www.jneurosci.org/content/25/49/11489

Mayer, J. D., Salovey, P., & Caruso, D. R. (2004). Emotional intelligence: Theory, findings, and implications. Psychological Inquiry, 15(3), 197–215. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1207/s15327965pli1503_02

Meyer-Lindenberg, A., Domes, G., Kirsch, P., & Heinrichs, M. (2011). Oxytocin and vasopressin in the human brain: Social neuropeptides for translational medicine. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 12(9), 524–538. https://www.nature.com/articles/nrn3044

Simpson, J. A., Kim, J., Fillo, J., Ickes, W., Rholes, W. S., Oriña, M. M., & Winterheld, H. A. (2011). Attachment and the management of empathic accuracy in relationship-threatening situations. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 100(5), 772-792. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0022264

Tone, E. B., & Tully, E. C. (2014). Empathy as a “risky strength”: A multilevel examination of empathy and risk for internalizing disorders. Development and Psychopathology, 26(4 pt. 2), 1547–1565. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25422978/

Zhang, Y., Dong, D., Jackson, T., Wang, Y., Huang, J., Chen, S., Ting, C., Qian, X., & Chen, H. (2018). The neural correlates of attachment style during mentalizing in female adults. Frontiers in Psychology, 9, 2503. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.02503/