# Credit at the Landing Site: Digital Microloans vs. Cooperative Finance and Their Effects on Fisher Households

Nukala Rama Kaushik Rishi

## Abstract

Across India's coasts, fishers' livelihoods are tightly coupled to volatile weather, fuel prices, and seasonally fluctuating catch. Access to fast, flexible credit helps smooth shocks but can also entrench debt cycles. This paper investigates how the ongoing shift from traditional cooperative finance to app-based digital microloans is reshaping financial inclusion and debt sustainability for fisher households, with a primary focus on coastal Andhra Pradesh. We synthesize evidence from fisheries economics, microfinance, and digital-lending regulation; develop a conceptual framework linking credit design to seasonal income risk; and propose a mixed-methods identification strategy capable of distinguishing access gains from sustainability risks. We argue that platform credit can expand inclusion and speed, yet—absent guardrails—raises dispersion in effective borrowing costs, increases rollover dependence in lean seasons, and weakens the social enforcement advantages that cooperatives historically provided. We outline a practical policy and product blueprint: shock-responsive moratoria; loan scheduling keyed to closed seasons; transparent APR disclosure; cooperative data rails with digital disbursal; and embedded savings/insurance nudges.

## 1. Introduction

India's marine fishers operate within one of the most uncertain and volatile small-scale production environments in the world. Their livelihoods depend on natural resources that are inherently unpredictable, shaped by seasonal monsoons, oceanographic conditions, and climate variability. These fishers face a unique intersection of risks: sudden cyclones that can damage boats and gear, unpredictable price fluctuations at auction markets, and periodic government-mandated fishing bans intended to allow fish stocks to regenerate. For communities where daily earnings depend heavily on the volume and price of the catch, such volatility translates directly into household vulnerability. A productive fishing trip can yield sufficient income to support a family for weeks, while an unproductive one, or worse, a cyclone that prevents venturing out altogether, can leave fishers indebted and scrambling to meet basic subsistence needs.

In such a context, **credit has historically served as the backbone of fishing economies**. Access to working capital at the landing site is essential for basic operations: to purchase fuel before setting out to sea, to repair or replace damaged nets, to buy ice for preserving catches during transport, and to provision crews with food and supplies during multi-day voyages. Furthermore, credit enables fishers to hold their catch until auction prices stabilize, avoiding the need to sell at a loss in moments of oversupply. Without immediate liquidity, many households would simply be unable to fish, as cash-intensive inputs are indispensable for every trip to sea. Thus, credit in fishing communities is not merely a financial instrument but a prerequisite for economic participation.

Traditionally, **Primary Fishermen's Cooperative Societies (PFCS)** have played a central role in extending such credit. Established with the support of state governments, these cooperatives were designed to pool resources, reduce dependence on moneylenders, and democratize access to financial services. Cooperatives typically bundled financial functions with other services, such as providing subsidized fuel, organizing auctions, and collectively marketing fish. Their strength lay in social cohesion: members knew one another, repayment was monitored through community mechanisms, and defaults were minimized through mutual accountability. In addition, **Self-Help Groups (SHGs)**—particularly women-led groups fostered under state and central government poverty alleviation schemes—further diversified financial access. SHGs often allowed households to borrow modest amounts for consumption smoothing, health emergencies, or livelihood diversification. Together, cooperatives and SHGs offered a semi-formal alternative to exploitative credit from informal actors.

Yet, despite these institutional arrangements, **moneylenders and traders have continued to dominate the credit landscape** in many coastal areas. This persistence is due to several factors. First, informal lenders often provide loans instantly, without bureaucratic delays or collateral requirements. Second, they tie credit to future fish sales, creating a form of interlinked

transaction where fishers repay by selling catch at below-market prices. Third, these arrangements, while exploitative in terms of interest costs, ensure a form of reliability: fishers know that, regardless of circumstances, they can access cash when they need it most. As a result, even where cooperatives and SHGs operate, moneylenders often overshadow them, particularly during peak demand seasons or in times of shock.

In the past decade, however, **a new actor has emerged at India's landing sites: app-based microcredit platforms**. Enabled by the proliferation of affordable smartphones, the expansion of mobile data networks, and the rapid adoption of the Unified Payments Interface (UPI), these platforms offer instant, small-ticket, unsecured loans. Unlike traditional cooperatives, which require membership and adherence to organizational rules, or SHGs, which function on group guarantees, digital platforms rely on algorithmic underwriting. A fisher with a smartphone can apply within minutes, receive a decision almost instantly, and have funds disbursed directly into their digital wallet or bank account. The loan sizes are typically modest—ranging from a few hundred to several thousand rupees—but they can be crucial for covering immediate expenses such as fuel purchases or household consumption during lean periods.

The rise of app-based credit in fishing villages mirrors broader financial inclusion trends in India. The government's **Jan Dhan Yojana** initiative has expanded access to bank accounts, while Aadhaar-based identification systems have enabled digital KYC (Know Your Customer) processes. Simultaneously, fintech companies have targeted underserved populations, promoting narratives of empowerment and financial independence. In theory, such developments promise to democratize access to credit, especially for marginalized communities historically excluded from formal finance.

Yet, the rapid growth of digital lending has not been without pitfalls. Concerns over predatory practices, opaque interest structures, and aggressive recovery tactics prompted regulatory intervention. Since 2022, the **Reserve Bank of India (RBI)** has issued comprehensive guidelines to govern the digital lending ecosystem. These regulations define what constitutes a Digital Lending App (DLA), mandate that only entities registered and vetted by the RBI may operate, and prohibit unregulated outsourcing of key functions. They also require lenders to disclose all charges transparently, ensuring that borrowers understand the true cost of credit. Importantly, the RBI has emphasized consumer protection, seeking to curtail the exploitative elements that had begun to proliferate in the sector.

Against this backdrop, fisher households in **coastal Andhra Pradesh** stand at a crossroads. The state, home to one of India's largest marine fishing populations, exemplifies both the opportunities and risks of digital credit. On the one hand, digital microloans can provide fishers with rapid access to funds, reducing dependence on moneylenders and enabling more flexible financial management. For instance, during the annual fishing ban, when households experience prolonged income gaps, quick-access loans may help them bridge consumption

needs without selling assets or pledging future catch at unfavorable terms. Likewise, after cyclones or gear losses, instant liquidity can support faster recovery. On the other hand, the very features that make digital credit attractive—speed, flexibility, and unsecured access—can also heighten risks. Without mechanisms aligned to fishing seasonality, borrowers may find themselves rolling over loans multiple times, incurring cumulative interest burdens that rival or exceed those charged by traditional moneylenders.

Thus, two intertwined questions emerge. First, **do digital microloans genuinely expand financial inclusion** relative to cooperatives and SHGs? That is, do they reach previously excluded households, offer more diverse products, and reduce dependence on exploitative informal credit? Or do they merely shift fishers from one form of high-cost borrowing to another? Second, **do these loans enhance or undermine debt sustainability**? Specifically, do they enable households to smooth consumption and invest in productive activities, or do they exacerbate rollover risks, over-indebtedness, and vulnerability to shocks? These questions are not abstract. Their answers have direct implications for household welfare, community resilience, and the long-term sustainability of India's marine fisheries economy.

The case of coastal Andhra Pradesh underscores how **finance, technology, and livelihoods intersect in fragile ecosystems**. While cooperatives embody community-based finance with built-in accountability, and moneylenders represent entrenched but exploitative systems, digital credit introduces a hybrid: technologically driven, individually accessed, and regulated from above rather than enforced from within. Whether this shift empowers fishers or deepens their precarity will depend not only on platform design and regulation but also on how well financial systems adapt to the unique rhythms of marine livelihoods.

## 2. Background: Fisheries Livelihoods, Seasonality, and Credit

Marine fishing incomes are lumpy. Catch and price vary with monsoon patterns, closed seasons, cyclones, fuel costs, and ecosystem shocks. In coastal Andhra, the April–June fishing ban, post-cyclone harbor interruptions, and periodic fuel price spikes create predictable cash-flow troughs. Such troughs heighten the appeal of fast, flexible credit—yet also the risk of rollovers and debt spirals if loan design ignores seasonality. Fisher cooperatives historically bundled procurement, marketing, input supply (fuel, ice), and credit. They reduced adverse selection via member knowledge and enforced repayment through social capital, while sometimes offering lower rates or in-kind credit. India retains a vast cooperative base with millions of fisher members that could anchor modernized finance if linked to digital rails. Self-help groups (SHGs) in coastal districts—often women-led—have also improved access to microcredit and enabled ancillary enterprise, with evidence of empowerment and livelihood gains. Studies in Indian marine fisheries report high indebtedness rates and a multi-source

credit mix. Andhra Pradesh fishers' household indebtedness remains substantial, with non-institutional lenders still prominent; MFIs displayed strong repayment performance yet NPAs persisted in pockets.

3. Credit Design: Cooperative vs. Digital Microloans

Credit design lies at the heart of livelihood sustainability for small-scale fishing households. For communities whose working capital requirements are immediate and cyclical—fuel at dawn, ice at noon, provisions for crew before departure, and liquidity to hold catch until prices stabilize—the **timing and structure of credit** often determine whether fishing trips are profitable or loss-making. In India's coastal fisheries, especially in Andhra Pradesh, the debate is no longer about whether credit is available but rather *how it is designed and delivered*. Historically, this role was filled by **cooperative societies** and later supplemented by **self-help groups (SHGs)**. More recently, **digital microloans**—app-based, algorithmically underwritten, and instantly disbursed—have entered the scene. Comparing the two models reveals both strengths and vulnerabilities, and highlights the challenge of designing hybrid systems that combine the social intelligence of cooperatives with the speed of digital platforms.

**Strengths of Cooperative Credit**

Cooperative credit has long been regarded as the most socially embedded form of financial intermediation for fishers. Its strengths lie in the way it integrates community knowledge, trust, and collective responsibility into financial transactions.

1. **Member Screening and Social Enforcement**: Cooperatives operate on a membership basis, allowing them to screen borrowers through community familiarity. Fishers who are unreliable or have a history of default are known within the community, reducing the chances of reckless lending. Social enforcement mechanisms, such as reputational consequences or peer pressure, ensure higher repayment discipline compared to impersonal lenders.

2. **Lower or Subsidized Rates**: In many cases, state governments support cooperative lending with subsidized interest rates, fuel subsidies, or concessional financing schemes. This allows fishers to access credit at costs significantly lower than informal moneylender rates, which often exceed 5–10% per month.

3. **Bundled Services**: Cooperatives do not merely extend credit; they frequently provide bundled services such as access to subsidized nets, gear repair facilities, collective marketing arrangements, and auction platforms. This integration strengthens their role as holistic livelihood institutions.

4. **Repayment Aligned to Fishing Calendars**: Perhaps the most critical advantage is that repayment schedules are often synchronized with fishing seasons. For example, during the annual monsoon ban, cooperatives may defer collections, recognizing that income is suspended. This contrasts sharply with rigid weekly or monthly installment structures found in many digital loans.

5. **Possibility of Dividends**: When cooperatives generate surpluses, they may distribute dividends or patronage bonuses to members, reinforcing the perception that cooperatives are not profit-driven entities but mutual benefit organizations.

### Weaknesses of Cooperative Credit

Despite these advantages, cooperatives face several limitations that constrain their effectiveness.

1. **Limited Capital**: Many cooperatives operate with small capital bases, dependent on irregular state support or limited member contributions. This restricts their ability to meet the large and immediate credit needs of entire communities.

2. **Slow Processes and Paperwork**: Cooperative credit disbursal is often bureaucratic, involving paperwork, approvals, and waiting periods. At the landing site, where credit needs are urgent—fuel before dawn or ice immediately after landing—delays can render cooperative loans impractical.

3. **Political Capture**: In several states, cooperatives have been vulnerable to political interference. Local elites or politically connected fishers sometimes capture leadership positions, diverting resources or prioritizing their own networks. This reduces inclusivity and weakens the cooperative ethos.

4. **Exclusion of Marginal Fishers**: Membership requirements and governance structures occasionally exclude the poorest or most marginalized fishers, leaving them dependent on informal lenders despite the presence of cooperatives.

### Strengths of Digital Microloans

Digital microloans represent a fundamentally different model, built on technology rather than community embeddedness. Their strengths address many of the weaknesses of cooperatives.

1. **Instant Underwriting**: App-based credit platforms use algorithmic assessments of creditworthiness, drawing on digital transaction histories, Aadhaar-based identity verification, and mobile usage data. This allows loans to be approved within minutes.

2. **24×7 Disbursal**: Unlike cooperatives that operate within office hours, digital loans are available round the clock. A fisher needing cash at dawn before departure can access funds without waiting for cooperative offices to open.

3. **Flexible Ticket Sizes**: Digital platforms allow borrowers to choose small or medium-sized loans depending on immediate needs. For instance, a fisher may borrow ₹2,000 for fuel one day and ₹8,000 for net repair the next.

4. **Transparent Dashboards**: Loan apps often provide dashboards displaying outstanding balances, repayment dates, and EMI structures. This transparency, at least in design, can help borrowers track obligations more easily than informal verbal agreements with traders.

5. **Automated Reminders**: SMS or app notifications serve as reminders, reducing the risk of accidental defaults and encouraging timely repayment.

### Risks of Digital Microloans

The strengths of digital credit are tempered by significant risks, particularly in small-scale, high-volatility environments like marine fisheries.

1. **Opaque Effective APRs**: While advertised rates may appear low, hidden processing fees, convenience charges, and penalties can push effective annualized rates to levels comparable with or exceeding moneylender terms.

2. **Aggressive Collection Practices**: Many platforms outsource recovery to third-party agents, who may resort to intimidation, harassment, or public shaming to secure repayment. Such practices can erode trust and exacerbate borrower stress.

3. **Rollover Frequency**: Because repayment schedules are typically rigid (weekly or monthly), fishers often roll over loans during lean catches. Over time, these rollovers compound costs and trap households in cycles of indebtedness.

4. **Data Privacy Risks**: Digital lenders collect sensitive personal and financial data. In contexts of weak digital literacy, borrowers may be unaware of how their data is used,

raising concerns about exploitation or misuse.

5. **Weak Community Enforcement**: Unlike cooperatives, which rely on peer monitoring and reputational sanctions, digital loans are individually transacted. The absence of community enforcement mechanisms increases default risks and disconnects lending from the social fabric.

**At the Jetty: Timing as a Decisive Factor**

For fishers, the timing of credit is as critical as its cost. Crews often need cash **before dawn** to purchase fuel and provisions, **at noon** for ice and handling, and **immediately after landing** to hold catch for better prices. In these moments, cooperative loans, slowed by administrative delays, often fail to deliver. Digital credit, by contrast, can bridge the gap—instant disbursal allows fishers to finance operations at the exact moment liquidity is required. However, this advantage comes with a caveat: if repayments are rigid and poorly aligned with income cycles, fishers may be forced into frequent rollovers, undermining the very benefit of instant access.

**The Design Challenge: Integration, Not Substitution**

The real question is not whether digital loans are superior to cooperatives or vice versa. Rather, it is how **the strengths of both systems can be combined** to create resilient financial infrastructures for fishing households. An integrated model might look like this: cooperatives continue to perform their traditional role of **member screening, community enforcement, and seasonal repayment alignment**, while digital platforms provide the **rails for fast disbursal, transparent tracking, and flexible loan sizing**. Such hybrid systems could leverage cooperative knowledge of fishing calendars and local trust networks while benefiting from fintech's speed and efficiency.

For instance, a cooperative could partner with a regulated digital lender to issue app-based loans, but ensure repayment schedules align with fishing bans and peak auction seasons. Similarly, digital lenders could embed cooperative leaders as guarantors, blending algorithmic risk scoring with community reputation. Such models would not only expand inclusion but also mitigate the risks of over-indebtedness and exploitation.

## 4. Conceptual Framework and Hypotheses

We develop a framework where fisher household cash flows follow seasonal processes with shock variance. Loan sustainability depends on matching tenors with revenue cycles, transparent pricing, and presence of buffers. In cooperative lending, social capital enforces

repayment; in digital apps, algorithmic enforcement prevails. Hypotheses: (H1) App lending increases access and speed; (H2) Borrowers face higher variance in effective APRs on apps; (H3) During closed seasons, app users show higher rollover frequency unless products adapt; (H4) SHG women experience better loan outcomes when platforms integrate cooperative data; (H5) Digital credit improves short-run smoothing but raises debt burdens absent insurance.

5. Research Design for Coastal Andhra Pradesh

We propose selecting 12–15 landing centers across Visakhapatnam, Kakinada, and Prakasam, sampling 600 households stratified by ownership, gear, and SHG membership. Methods: panel surveys, cooperative and platform administrative data, market/fuel/IMD cyclone data. Qualitative methods include interviews, focus groups, and ethnography. Identification: staggered difference-in-differences across platform rollout; instrumental variables such as mobile network coverage or distance to agent kiosks; event studies around cyclone/ban periods. Outcomes: financial inclusion index, effective APR, debt-to-income ratios, rollovers, arrears, food smoothing, distress sales, and stress scales.

6. Expected Findings and Heterogeneity

We expect app lending to expand inclusion, especially speed and access, but increase cost variance and rollover risks. Gender dynamics suggest women in SHGs benefit most through group-linked underwriting. Boat owners may need larger working capital loans, while crews rely on smaller consumption-smoothing loans. During cyclones, arrears spike, and platforms lacking shock-responsive moratoria may worsen sustainability. Regulatory tightening is expected to reduce mis-selling and improve transparency over time.

7. Policy and Product Design Implications

The design of financial products and the policy frameworks that govern them are not neutral—they directly shape the resilience, sustainability, and inclusivity of fishing households. For coastal Andhra Pradesh's fisher communities, where livelihoods are tied to the rhythms of nature, markets, and regulatory interventions, credit policies must be carefully tailored to their unique cycles of risk and opportunity. An effective financial ecosystem in fisheries cannot rely solely on extending more credit; it must ensure that credit is **timely, affordable, transparent, and embedded in the lived realities of fishing households**.

**Aligning Credit to Fishing Calendars**

The most immediate design imperative is synchronizing loan structures with fishing calendars. Unlike salaried workers with predictable incomes, fishers experience sharp fluctuations in earnings across seasons. For example, the annual monsoon ban period, which may last 45 to 60 days, suspends fishing entirely, leaving households without direct income. Repayment

demands during such periods are not only unrealistic but counterproductive, forcing fishers to take new loans to service old ones.

Policymakers can mandate **ban-season moratoria** in repayment schedules, ensuring that fishers are not penalized during times when they legally cannot fish. Similarly, repayment schedules should be **auction-linked**. Fishers often delay selling part of their catch until auction prices improve. Designing repayment due dates around auction cycles—rather than rigid monthly deadlines—could reduce rollover risks and improve household cash flows.

### Transparent APR Disclosure and Borrower Comprehension

One of the major risks in digital microloans is the **opacity of pricing**. While platforms often advertise low monthly interest rates, the effective **Annual Percentage Rate (APR)** can balloon once processing fees, penalties, and rollover costs are included. In illiterate or semi-literate fishing communities, where financial literacy is limited, this opacity can lead to debt traps.

Policy must therefore require not only **transparent disclosure of APRs** but also **borrower comprehension checks**. Before a loan is disbursed, platforms could implement short verbal or visual explanations (in local languages such as Telugu), confirming that borrowers understand repayment schedules and total costs. This moves beyond formal disclosure to actual comprehension, aligning with consumer protection principles.

### Hybrid Partnerships Between Cooperatives and Digital Platforms

A binary debate of cooperatives versus digital platforms misses the opportunity for **synergy**. Cooperatives bring **deep local knowledge, trust networks, and seasonal experience**, while platforms offer **speed, convenience, and data-driven risk modeling**. Policymakers should encourage **cooperative–platform partnerships**.

For example, cooperative societies could act as data contributors, feeding decades of member-level repayment histories into fintech algorithms. In return, platforms can disburse loans more quickly through mobile applications, reducing bureaucratic delays. Cooperative leaders can also play a role in **guaranteeing or endorsing loans**, creating a blended enforcement mechanism that mixes community accountability with digital efficiency. Such hybrid models can reduce defaults, expand inclusion, and preserve the social embeddedness that has historically underpinned cooperative lending.

### Integrating SHGs and Empowering Women

Women's **Self-Help Groups (SHGs)** have long been a cornerstone of rural financial inclusion in India. In fishing communities, women play critical roles in post-harvest processing, marketing,

and household financial management. Yet they often remain excluded from mainstream fisheries credit systems, which focus disproportionately on boat-owning men.

Integrating SHGs into fisheries credit can correct this imbalance. Platforms can offer **group-based discounts** for women borrowers, recognizing their strong repayment records and collective discipline. Additionally, SHGs could be linked to cooperative–platform partnerships, enabling women to access loans for activities such as drying, vending, or value-added fish processing. This not only empowers women economically but also diversifies household income sources, reducing vulnerability to shocks in the capture sector.

### Micro-Savings and Parametric Insurance

Credit alone cannot build resilience; **savings and insurance** are equally critical. Micro-savings schemes linked to digital wallets can help fishers build small safety nets. For instance, every digital loan repayment could be paired with an optional micro-savings transfer of ₹50–100, accumulated into an emergency fund.

Parametric insurance—where payouts are triggered automatically by measurable events such as wind speeds exceeding cyclone thresholds—offers another innovative solution. Instead of long claim processes, fishers could receive instant compensation when fishing bans or cyclone warnings are declared. By embedding such insurance products into loan agreements, platforms can protect both lenders (who face repayment risks) and borrowers (who face livelihood risks).

### Cold-Chain Financing and Post-Harvest Resilience

A frequent challenge at landing sites is the **lack of cold-chain infrastructure**. Fishers often sell immediately after landing, even when prices are depressed, because they cannot afford to hold perishable catch. Credit products designed specifically for **cold-chain financing**—such as loans for community ice plants, refrigerated trucks, or solar-powered cold storage—could transform the economics of small-scale fisheries. By allowing fishers to hold inventory until prices rise, such financing not only stabilizes incomes but also reduces post-harvest losses, a major issue in India's fisheries sector.

### Credit as a Lever for Sustainable Practices

Beyond resilience and inclusion, fisheries credit can actively promote **sustainability**. For example, loans could be structured with **conditional incentives** for environmentally responsible behavior. Fishers adopting biodegradable nets or participating in **ghost-net recovery** (the removal of abandoned gear that continues to trap marine life) could receive concessional interest rates or bonus moratoria.

Similarly, cooperatives and platforms could jointly create “green loan products” that support fuel-efficient engines, solar drying systems for fish, or waste management at landing sites. By tying financial incentives to ecological outcomes, credit can move beyond being a neutral input and become a driver of sustainable fisheries management.

**Institutional Support and Regulatory Alignment**

For these innovations to succeed, strong regulatory support is essential. The **Reserve Bank of India’s digital lending guidelines (2022)** provide a foundation, but sector-specific rules for fisheries credit could be developed. Ministries of Fisheries and state governments could coordinate with RBI to issue directives aligning financial products with seasonal bans, auction practices, and sustainability goals.

In addition, **capacity-building initiatives** are needed. Fishers must be trained in digital literacy, financial planning, and consumer rights. Cooperatives can serve as training hubs, offering workshops on safe borrowing, savings habits, and the risks of rollover loans. Platforms, for their part, must commit to transparent design, ethical data practices, and borrower protection.

## 8. Literature Positioning

This study contributes to fisheries finance by updating classic accounts of trader and cooperative credit with digital evidence. It adds to digital lending policy by embedding RBI’s framework in seasonal-income contexts. Finally, it engages with collective action literature by testing whether SHG and cooperative social capital can improve digital lending risk models.

## 9. Limitations and Risks

Measurement error is possible in APR calculation; selection bias in who adopts apps must be handled with IV designs; results from Andhra may not generalize to all Indian fisheries. Regulatory shifts during the study period could alter platform practices, requiring adaptive designs.

## 10. Conclusion

The contest at the landing site is not digital versus cooperative finance but how to merge them so fishers gain speed with protection. App-based lending expands inclusion but, without guardrails, can increase rollover and stress. Cooperative and SHG infrastructures, along with RBI rules, provide an opportunity to build hybrid rails: cooperative-anchored, digitally delivered, season-aware credit. The research agenda proposed here offers credible causal identification. Implementing transparent pricing, ban-season moratoria, SHG-linked underwriting, and ecosystem-positive loans can improve fisher resilience and create safer credit markets.

References

1. Archer, E., et al. (2023). From vulnerability to viability: A situational analysis of small-scale fisheries across twelve countries. Marine Policy.
2. BOBP-IGO. (2008). Women in Fisheries on the East Coast of India. Bay of Bengal Programme—Inter-Governmental Organisation.
3. CMFRI study (Vipinkumar et al.). (2013). Coastal indebtedness and impact of microfinance in marine fisheries. Indian Journal of Fisheries.
4. Fishcopfed. Data Bank (2025). Scale of primary fisheries cooperatives in India.
5. Jain, R., & team. (2019). Coping strategies in seasonal fisheries livelihoods. Open-access synthesis.
6. JAZ India Journal. (2024). Role of SHGs in enhancement of livelihoods of fishermen (Kakinada Harbour, AP).
7. JETIR. (2018). Role of SHGs in empowering women in marine fishing.
8. RBI. (2022–2023). Guidelines on Digital Lending (DLAs, REs, LSPs) & FAQs.
9. Reuters. (2024). RBI supervisory actions on digital channels and lending.
10. ScienceDirect. (2015). Sectoral credit choice in rural India (formal lender preference determinants).
11. ScienceDirect. (2023). Cooperatives, social capital, and poverty reduction among small-scale fishers.
12. Times of India. (2025). Fisheries production and cooperative strengthening context.
13. Times of India. (2025). Ghost-net removal and fisher income benefits—Andhra case.
14. University repository (AgEcon Search). Agricultural Credit and Indebtedness in India—Andhra Pradesh incidence.