The Transformation of the Music Industry, Examining its Future Prospects in Particular– Spotify

Chloe Tseng

Introduction

In recent years, Spotify has emerged as a transformational force in the music industry. The rise of streaming platforms has not only altered the relationship between record labels, artists, and technology companies but has also changed the way music reaches listeners. Spotify's freemium model has played a key role in this metamorphosis, offering users easy access to vast music libraries. However, this evolution was part of a broader, gradual shift that began with the digitisation of music in the 1990s. As technology advanced, so did the music industry, from the introduction of CDs to the disruption caused by Napster. Streaming services like Spotify have driven the latest phase of this evolution, reshaping traditional music distribution models. This paper explores two things: firstly, the rise of Spotify and how its business model has reshaped the entire industry—transforming how music is produced, consumed, and monetised and secondly, the examination of Spotify as a company and its future prospects.

The History of the Music Industry

The history of the music industry has been marked by significant technological shifts. The first was the change from cassettes to CDs then the change from physical to digital consumption, and most recently the shift from digital consumption to online streaming.

The first shift occurred in the 1980s, with the introduction of the compact disc (CD) which revolutionised music distribution, offering higher sound quality and durability, which led to a surge in music sales without disrupting the traditional revenue structures of record labels. Sony Music, a key player in promoting CDs, benefited from this transition, securing increased market share and profitability (Moses, 2018).

The second shift occurred in the late 1990s, digital disruption began with the emergence of Napster, a peer-to-peer file-sharing service that facilitated the free exchange of music files, leading to widespread piracy (Knopper, 2009). Napster's popularity posed a serious threat to record labels like Universal Music Group, which experienced significant revenue losses and engaged in legal battles to regain control over music distribution (Knopper, 2018). In response, Apple launched the iTunes Store in 2003, offering a legal alternative to piracy by enabling consumers to purchase digital singles and albums. This shifted the market away from physical ownership towards digital downloads, allowing Apple to generate substantial revenue (Levy, 2013).

The most recent shift occurred in 2013 with the rise of streaming services like Spotify, which offered an alternative to digital ownership by providing subscription-based, on-demand music access. Spotify's freemium model gained rapid popularity, redefining how consumers engage with music and establishing streaming as the dominant mode of music consumption today (McAlone, 2017). As streaming continues to dominate the music industry, platforms like Spotify will play an increasingly pivotal role in shaping the future of how music is accessed, distributed, and monetised in the digital age. Spotify is yet another example of technology changing how the music industry operates.

Due to these three technological shifts, it is shown that the music industry is ever-developing as new technologies emerge. The next section will describe the shifts in more detail.

The Shift to Streaming in the Music Industry

The section details the cause of the shift, its impact on record labels, and how it has transformed the music industry.

The shift from record labels to streaming companies in the music industry was driven by several different factors. As the peer-to-peer file-sharing application Napster enabled consumers to access music for free, record labels saw a significant loss of revenue and control over music distribution (Knopper, 2009). This led to a growing necessity for legal digital alternatives, which would allow the industry to adapt to consumer demand for more convenience and accessibility (Levy, 2006). The expansion of internet infrastructure in the early 2000s further enabled the rise of streaming services like Spotify, which offered on-demand access to vast music libraries for a subscription fee (McAlone, 2017).

The impact on record labels was profound. They lost control over distribution, which they previously held through physical sales and digital downloads. Additionally, revenue distribution shifted, with artists receiving a smaller share from streaming than they did from physical sales (Levy, 2011). This created a major concern about the fairness of compensation for musicians (Levine, 2015). On the other hand, streaming companies capitalised on data collection and analytics, using vast amounts of user data to curate personalised playlists and improve the listening experience. Data has now become a commodity, as streaming platforms monetise it through targeted advertising and partnerships (Morris, 2015).

The shift from traditional record labels to streaming companies like Spotify has transformed the music industry, driven by the demand for convenience and the rise of internet infrastructure. While record labels lost control over distribution and saw shifts in revenue distribution, streaming services capitalised on the opportunity by providing legal, accessible alternatives (Wikström, 2009). Despite ongoing concerns over artist compensation, streaming platforms have revolutionised music consumption through data-driven personalisation and new monetisation strategies, reshaping the industry's business model for the digital age.

The shift from record labels to streaming services was due to many factors and the impact on the industry as a whole, specifically artists and record labels was profound. The next section will detail a specific streaming service– Spotify.

Spotify– A Major Catalyst

Spotify is a company at the forefront of streaming services; this section explores what Spotify offers, its business model, its customer profile, its profit margins, and its artist payouts.

As the shift from traditional music distribution to digital streaming took hold, Spotify emerged as a major player, fundamentally altering how music is consumed and monetised. While disruptions like Napster exposed vulnerabilities in record labels, Spotify offered a legal, scalable solution that met consumer demand for convenience. Spotify's freemium model, profit distribution, and data monetisation have redefined the economics of the music industry, establishing new norms for revenue-sharing between artists, rights holders, and streaming platforms (Vonderau, 2019). Its global expansion and ability to adapt to regional markets have cemented its leadership in the digital music landscape, setting the stage for further industry evolution (Mulligan, 2015).

Spotify's business model operates on a freemium structure, offering a free ad-supported tier and a premium subscription tier with enhanced features such as ad-free listening, offline access, and higher audio quality (Marshall, 2015). A critical factor in Spotify's success is its ability to convert free users to paying subscribers, driving revenue growth. In 2023, Spotify reported over 550 million active users globally, with around 226 million premium subscribers, highlighting its success in user conversion (Spotify, 2023). Although free users make up a significant portion of its user base, premium subscriptions account for 87% of Spotify's total revenue, making it the main revenue driver (Spotify, 2023).

Spotify's global reach is also a key factor in its success, with markets in Europe and North America being the largest, but significant growth occurring in regions like Latin America and Asia (McAlone, 2015). In emerging markets like India, Spotify has tailored its pricing to local conditions, which has fueled its expansion (McAlone, 2017). Despite free users being the majority, premium subscribers contribute most of Spotify's revenue, underscoring the importance of geographic expansion and conversion rates (Spotify, 2023).

When comparing profit margins, traditional record companies historically earned more from physical sales due to the higher per-unit revenue generated from CDs, vinyl, and other physical media formats. Physical sales allowed record labels to control distribution, pricing, and artist contracts more closely, resulting in a higher share of profits per sale. In contrast, streaming services like Spotify operate on significantly thinner margins due to their revenue-sharing model, where they pay out a large percentage of their earnings to rights holders. Spotify, for instance, pays approximately 70% of its revenue to rights holders, including record labels, publishers, and performance rights organisations (Spotify, 2021).

Spotify's per-stream payouts range from \$0.003 to \$0.005, with the exact amount depending on various factors such as the country, type of user (freemium or premium), and specific licensing agreements (Marshall, 2015). While this model allows for massive global distribution and exposure, artists often receive only a portion of this payout, depending on their agreements with record labels or distributors. Major label artists typically receive less from each stream than independent artists, as labels take a cut of the revenue (Vonderau, 2019).

In 2019 alone, Spotify paid over $5 billion to rights holders, emphasising the growing importance of streaming as a dominant source of revenue for the music industry (Spotify, 2019). However, despite the large payout figures, many artists have raised concerns about the fairness of compensation, especially considering the vast number of streams needed to generate significant earnings. Independent artists, in particular, often express frustrations over low payouts, as they may not benefit from the same large-scale promotional support that major label artists receive (Spotify, 2021). Nonetheless, streaming services provide a platform for greater exposure and scalable income potential, especially for artists who can build a dedicated fanbase globally. As the industry continues to shift towards streaming, debates over fair compensation and revenue distribution remain central issues, particularly as artists navigate the balance between widespread visibility and financial sustainability in the digital age.

Spotify has revolutionised music consumption and redefined the economic structure of the industry. Its freemium model and global reach have set new standards for revenue distribution and market expansion. As streaming continues to dominate, Spotify's approach to monetising free and premium users will shape the future of music consumption and artist compensation.

Future Prospects of Spotify

The second part of this paper examines Spotify as a company along with its future prospects through a SWOT analysis and a Porter's Five Forces analysis.

SWOT Analysis of Spotify

Spotify's business model has several key strengths that contribute to its success. Its global reach, with operations in over 180 markets and more than 550 million active users, is a major advantage. This large user base is driven by Spotify's freemium model, which offers both free, ad-supported access and premium subscriptions, helping convert free users into paying customers. Additionally, Spotify's use of personalised playlists through data analysis has significantly boosted user engagement and satisfaction. For opportunities, Spotify has a wide range of user data, which can be monetised in a variety of ways. However, Spotify faces challenges, particularly with its thin profit margins. Its revenue-sharing model, while allowing for broad distribution, results in lower profitability compared to traditional music sales. Spotify has also been criticised for low per-stream payouts to artists, raising concerns about fair compensation. Threats include intense competition, as there are other major streaming platforms such as Apple Music, Amazon Music, YouTube Music, and many more. Furthermore, low compensation for artists could lead to more pushback.

Spotify has many strengths and opportunities with its unique business model and vast consumer data. However, the weaknesses and threats pose an issue with low compensation for artists which is a very significant part of a streaming platform. This could lead to more artists voicing their concerns and even pulling their content from the platform.

Porter’s Five Forces Analysis of Spotify

Porter’s Five Forces analysis highlights the competitive pressures Spotify faces. The bargaining power of suppliers—including record labels and rights holders—is strong, as Spotify pays around 70% of its revenue to these stakeholders, especially major labels. The bargaining power of buyers is also high, as users can easily switch to other services like Apple Music or Amazon Music, giving them leverage over pricing and features. The threat of new entrants is moderate; while launching a new platform requires significant capital, Spotify’s established market position offers some protection. The threat of substitutes is high, as consumers have a wide range of entertainment options, including video streaming, podcasts, and social media. Lastly, the intensity of rivalry is fierce, with competitors like Apple Music, Tidal, and YouTube Music pushing Spotify to constantly innovate and differentiate its services.

Spotify needs to be resilient and responsive to the changing environment and competition, with high bargaining power from buyers, and intense rivalry from already established streaming platforms.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the rise of Spotify has significantly transformed the music industry, shifting the focus from traditional distribution models to an era dominated by digital streaming. By leveraging its freemium model, data-driven personalisation, and global reach, Spotify has not only revolutionised how music is consumed but has also redefined revenue-sharing practices between artists, rights holders, and platforms. The company's ability to continuously innovate and adapt to regional markets has cemented its leadership in the industry, and its impact on the future of music consumption is undeniable. As streaming continues to dominate, Spotify’s approach to balancing free access with premium subscriptions will play a pivotal role in shaping the industry’s trajectory, particularly in the ongoing debate around fair artist compensation. Moving forward, Spotify's business strategies and competitive pressures will define its future growth, influencing the broader dynamics of the global music industry.

In terms of Spotify’s future prospects regarding the SWOT analysis and Porter’s Five Forces Analysis, Spotify should increase their payouts to artists and provide fair compensation as it could pose as a serious threat. They also need to continuously adapt to the ever-changing environment of the industry with intense competition from established platforms.

Sources:

The marketing of popular music. (2007). *Taylor & Francis*, 6–25. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780080472058-2

Carter, C. (2020). *How Streaming Services Changed the Way We Listen to and Pay for Music*. EGrove. https://egrove.olemiss.edu/hon_thesis/1453/

Dolata, U. (2020). The digital transformation of the music industry. The second decade: From download to streaming. *Econstor.eu*. http://hdl.handle.net/10419/225509

Nils Wlömert, & Dominik Papies. (2016). On-demand streaming services and music industry revenues — Insights from Spotify's market entry. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, *33*(2), 314–327. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijresmar.2015.11.002

About | HeinOnline. (2021, March 8). HeinOnline. https://heinonline.org/HOL/LandingPage?handle=hein.journals/denversel21&div=7&id=&page=

Parvez, T. (2023, November 10). *Exploring 50 Years of Music Industry Revenues*. SonoSuite; https://sonosuite.com/en/blog/exploring-50-years-of-music-industry-revenues/

Spotify. (2019). *Spotify Financial Report 2019*.

Spotify. (2021). *Loud & Clear: How the Money Flows*. https://loudandclear.byspotify.com/

Knopper, S. (2018). Napster's impact: The decline of record sales. *Rolling Stone*. https://www.rollingstone.com/music/music-news/universal-music-napster-lawsuit-123411/

Levy, S. (2013, April 26). How iTunes changed the music industry. *Wired*. https://www.wired.com/2013/04/itunes-10-year-anniversary/

Marshall, L. (2015). The financial impact of streaming on the music industry: Examining profit margins. *International Journal of Music Business Research*, 4(2), 45-63.

McAlone, N. (2017, December 21). Spotify's impact on the streaming revolution. *Business Insider*. https://www.businessinsider.com/spotify-growth-in-streaming-market-2017-12

Morris, J. W. (2015). Artists as data: How digital tracking is changing the music industry. *Journal of Cultural Economy*, 8(3), 249-261. https://doi.org/10.1080/17530350.2014.990823

Spotify. (2021). *Loud & Clear: How the Money Flows*. https://loudandclear.byspotify.com/

Spotify. (2023). *Spotify company information*. https://newsroom.spotify.com/

Vonderau, P. (2019). Spotify's business model: A platform between consumption, copyright, and connectivity. *Journal of Media Business Studies*, 16(3), 170-189.

Moses, M. (2018, November 14). The rise of the compact disc: How Sony drove the CD revolution. *Pitchfork*. https://pitchfork.com/features/article/2018-rise-of-the-compact-disc-how-sony-drove-the-cd-revolution/

Levine, R. (2015). *Free ride: How digital parasites are destroying the culture business, and how the culture business can fight back*. Anchor.

Kerr, D. (2017, July 26). How Spotify converted free users into premium subscribers. *CNET*. https://www.cnet.com/news/how-spotify-converted-free-users-into-premium-subscribers/

Wikström, P. (2009). *The music industry: Music in the cloud*. Polity Press.