The Etiology of Borderline Personality Disorder: The Role of Attachment Styles and Childhood Trauma

Isabella Sarracino

Borderline personality disorder (BPD) is a personality disorder defined by mood instability, struggles with interpersonal relationships, and self-image issues, all of which interfere with social functioning (American Psychological Association, 2023). The DSM-5 outlines specific diagnostic criteria for BPD, including self-damaging behaviors, intense but unstable relationships, uncontrollable temper outbursts, uncertainty about self-image, shifting moods, self-defeating behavior, and chronic feelings of emptiness (American Psychological Association, 2023). BPD is recognized as a pervasive condition with severe implications for emotional and social well-being, often associated with high rates of comorbidity and suicide (Kulacaoglu & Kose, 2018). It is not just a psychiatric issue but also a public health concern due to its impact on healthcare systems and economies (Cailhol et al., 2016).

BPD is a significant public health issue, with global prevalence rates varying across different socio-demographic groups. The disorder disproportionately affects women and individuals from lower socioeconomic backgrounds, though it is present across all races, ethnicities, and sexual orientations. The severe consequences of BPD are further underscored by the high rates of suicide attempts and completions among those diagnosed, highlighting the critical need for effective intervention strategies. Prevalence studies indicate that BPD affects approximately 3% of the general adolescent population (Guilé, 2018). Early detection and intervention are crucial for adolescents with BPD, as the disorder can significantly disrupt developmental processes, leading to long-term impairment (Kulacaoglu & Kose, 2018). In adults, the prevalence of BPD ranges from 1.6% to 5.9% in community samples (Cameron et al., 2019; Cailhol et al., 2016), with even higher rates observed in clinical settings, emphasizing the importance of addressing this disorder across the lifespan to mitigate its impact on individuals and society as a whole (Kong, 2017).

The etiology of BPD is multifaceted, with neurobiological factors, attachment relationships, theory and early childhood experiences playing central roles. Theoretical models of BPD have evolved over time, with several frameworks offering different perspectives on its etiology. From a psychodynamic standpoint, BPD is often linked to attachment theory, which suggests that early attachment experiences with caregivers play a critical role in shaping emotional regulation and interpersonal relationships in adulthood. Insecure or disorganized attachment patterns are thought to contribute to the development of BPD (Fonagy et al., 2000). Bowlby's attachment theory has been instrumental in understanding how early relationships with primary caregivers shape emotional development and contribute to personality disorders like BPD. Various attachment styles, particularly insecure types such as preoccupied and fearful, have been associated with BPD (Hashworth, Reis & Grenyer, 2021).

Environmental adversity, particularly childhood trauma, is another key factor in the development of BPD. Research shows that 70-90% of individuals with BPD report experiencing

various forms of maltreatment, including physical, sexual, emotional, and verbal abuse, as well as neglect (Schartman, 2024). Additionally, trauma and adverse childhood experiences, including abuse and neglect, have been linked to the development of BPD, although not all individuals with BPD have a history of trauma, suggesting other contributing factors (Martín-Blanco et al., 2014).

Neurobiological research has further contributed to our understanding of BPD, identifying abnormalities in brain structures involved in emotion regulation and impulse control, such as the amygdala and prefrontal cortex (Meares, 2012; Watson, 2006). While the biological component of BPD plays an important role in the disorder's development, this paper will not focus on it. The biopsychosocial model integrates these perspectives, emphasizing the interaction of biological, psychological, and social factors, including genetic predispositions, brain functioning, early life experiences, and environmental stressors, in the development and maintenance of BPD (Cameron, Calderwood & McMurphy, 2019). These findings underscore the complexity of BPD and highlight the importance of a multifaceted approach to its diagnosis and treatment.
The current paper reviews literature on the role of attachment styles and early childhood experiences in the development of BPD. This review examines existing theory and research to understand how attachment styles and early childhood experiences contribute to the etiology of Borderline Personality Disorder. The first section of the review focuses on early childhood attachment styles and BPD. In the second section, the relationship between early childhood trauma and BPD is discussed. The conclusion provides an overview and synthesis of the findings from each of the aforementioned sections, considers the strengths and weaknesses of the overall body of literature, and discusses the implications of the findings for future research and clinical practice.

Researchers and theorists have studied how our relationships with caregivers can contribute to disorders such as BPD and have found that disruptions in these early attachment relationships can lead to significant emotional and psychological difficulties later in life (Bowlby, 1982; Levy, 2005). In particular, insecure attachment styles—such as anxious, avoidant, or disorganized attachments—are often linked to the development of BPD (Choi-Kain et al., 2009). These early relational experiences can shape an individual's sense of self, their ability to regulate emotions, and their patterns of relating to others (Fonagy et al., 2000; Schartman, 2024). By reviewing the existing literature, this paper aims to clarify the complex interplay between attachment styles, early childhood experiences, and the onset of BPD, ultimately contributing to a deeper understanding of how these factors may inform more effective prevention and treatment strategies for those at risk of or suffering from BPD.

Attachment and BPD

Attachment theory suggests that early representations of bonds with childhood caregivers play a significant role in the development of personality disorders, including Borderline Personality Disorder (BPD).

According to Bowlby's attachment theory (1988), children have an inherent need to establish a strong bond with their primary caregiver, which is essential for healthy emotional development (Schartman, 2024). Researchers have identified four main attachment styles: secure, preoccupied, dismissive, and fearful (Schartman, 2024). In BPD, emotional instability, fear of abandonment, and difficulties in relationships are often rooted in early attachment disruptions. For example, inconsistent or neglectful caregiving can lead to insecure attachment styles, which impair emotional regulation and increase emotional reactivity (Choi-Kain et al., 2009; Levy, 2005). This insecurity fosters deep fears of abandonment, as early experiences of inconsistent or unavailable support create an expectation of relational instability (Fernando & Zanarini, 2014). These attachment-related fears contribute to interpersonal difficulties, as individuals may engage in maladaptive behaviors—such as idealizing or devaluing others—to protect themselves from perceived abandonment (Kong, 2017).

Several studies have explored the connection between different attachment styles and the development of BPD, providing insight into how disruptions in patterns in interactions with caregivers can contribute to the disorder's pathology. Several studies have explored the connection between different attachment styles and the development of BPD, providing insight into how disruptions in patterns of interactions with caregivers can contribute to the disorder's pathology. Attachment researchers have identified four main attachment styles—secure, preoccupied, dismissive, and fearful—which shape how individuals relate to themselves and others (Levy, 2005; Schartman, 2024). These styles are formed early in life based on caregiving experiences and play a critical role in emotional regulation and relationship dynamics (Levy, 2005). Levy (2005) conducted a review of theoretical and empirical literature on the link between attachment and BPD. Based on the body of research reviewed, Levy broadly concluded that impairments in attachment organization are fundamental to the disorder. More specifically, he found that negative internal models formed during early childhood, particularly in response to inconsistent or abusive caregiving, influence the development of BPD. These negative models shape how individuals with BPD perceive themselves and others, leading to the intense fear of abandonment and difficulty in forming stable relationships. Levy's work emphasizes the importance of addressing attachment issues in therapeutic interventions for BPD, as improving attachment security may alleviate some of the disorder's core symptoms.

Choi-Kain et al. (2009) further explored the link between attachment insecurity and BPD finding that individuals with BPD exhibit significantly higher levels of attachment insecurity, particularly in the preoccupied and fearful attachment styles. The study introduces the concept of a mixed attachment model, where the combination of preoccupied and fearful attachment styles is more indicative of BPD than either style alone. This finding supports clinical theories framing BPD as an attachment disorder, where the inability to form secure attachments in early life leads to the emotional dysregulation and interpersonal difficulties seen in BPD. The study's results suggest that interventions targeting attachment insecurity could be particularly beneficial for individuals with BPD. Schartman (2024) examines the interaction between childhood trauma, attachment styles, and BPD in a sample of 529 Dutch adolescents. The study reveals that both

childhood trauma and insecure attachment correlate with higher BPD-profile scores, with preoccupied attachment having the most significant impact. However, no moderating effect of attachment styles on the relationship between childhood trauma and BPD-profile scores was found, indicating that while insecure attachment styles contribute to BPD, they do not alter the relationship between trauma and BPD development. Schartman's findings suggest that attachment plays a mediating rather than moderating role in the development of BPD. The study highlights the importance of researching BPD in adolescent populations, as early intervention could mitigate the long-term effects of insecure attachment and trauma. Kong (2017) explores the mediating role of attachment insecurity in the relationship between childhood trauma and adult dissociation, a symptom commonly associated with BPD. The study of 115 psychiatric outpatients finds that greater childhood trauma is linked to higher dissociation, and this relationship is fully mediated by attachment anxiety. Specific types of trauma, such as emotional and physical abuse, have their effects on dissociation mediated by attachment anxiety, while sexual abuse is mediated by both attachment anxiety and avoidance. These findings underscore the importance of addressing attachment issues in trauma treatment, as targeted interventions aimed at reducing attachment insecurity may improve outcomes for dissociative symptoms in individuals with BPD. Collectively, these studies illustrate the critical role that early attachment experiences play in the development of BPD. Disruptions in secure attachment, particularly those resulting from childhood trauma, contribute to the emotional and relational difficulties that characterize BPD. Research highlights that preoccupied and fearful attachment styles are most strongly linked to BPD, as these styles intensify fears of abandonment and contribute to emotional instability (Choi-Kain et al., 2009; Schartman, 2024). Understanding these connections provides a foundation for developing more effective, attachment-informed treatments for individuals with BPD.

Childhood Trauma and BPD

Research consistently underscores the significant role of childhood trauma in the development of Borderline Personality Disorder (BPD), with up to 70% of individuals diagnosed with BPD reporting a history of trauma, particularly emotional abuse and neglect (Baryshnikov, 2017; Schartman, 2024). Childhood traumatic experiences, particularly those involving emotional abuse and neglect, are strongly associated with the emergence of BPD features later in life, as evidenced by multiple studies (e.g., Kong, 2017; Martín-Blanco et al., 2014). Baryshnikov (2017) conducted a study to explore the relationship between self-reported childhood traumatic experiences and BPD features. A sample of 282 patients with mood disorders completed self-report measures that assessed for BPD symptoms, adult attachment styles, and traumatic and distressing experiences. The author found a robust association between childhood trauma and BPD traits, particularly among younger individuals with high levels of neuroticism, a personality trait characterized by a tendency toward emotional instability, anxiety, and moodiness. Neuroticism is connected to mood, anxiety, psychological distress, suicidal behavior and substance use disorders, contributing to their high comorbidity with BPD

(Baryshnikov, 2017). The findings also revealed that attachment anxiety partially mediates this relationship, suggesting that early attachment issues may exacerbate the impact of childhood trauma on BPD development. This study emphasizes the importance of addressing both childhood trauma and attachment difficulties in the therapeutic context for patients with BPD features.

Similarly, Fernando (2014) explored the link between childhood trauma and emotion regulation difficulties in 97 patients with BPD (n=49) or Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) (n=48). The study found that patients with BPD reported higher levels of childhood emotional abuse compared to those with MDD and healthy controls. Emotional abuse was specifically associated with increased use of maladaptive emotion regulation strategies, such as expressive suppression, highlighting the specific impact of early emotional maltreatment on the development of BPD. Considered together, these results suggest that childhood trauma, especially emotional abuse, plays a crucial role in shaping the emotion regulation deficits that are central to BPD.

A systematic review by Bozzatello (2021) further corroborates the importance of early identification and intervention in BPD. The review identified childhood trauma as a key environmental risk factor for BPD, alongside genetic and neurobiological elements. The review also underscored that misconceptions about and variability in clinical presentation often delay diagnosis. For example, there is often hesitation when diagnosing BPD in adolescents due to the belief that personality disorders should not be diagnosed in youth, difficulties distinguishing between normal developmental changes and PD, and the tendency to frequently attribute symptoms to other diagnostic categories (Bozzatello, 2021). However, early recognition of trauma-related symptoms is critical for improving long-term outcomes.

Watson (2006) examined the neurobiological consequences of childhood trauma among 139 BPD patients. In particular, Watson focused on dissociation as a potential mechanism linking trauma to BPD. The study found that emotional abuse during childhood was strongly associated with dissociation, which was also linked to changes in the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis as well as brain structures like the amygdala. The HPA axis is known for its central role in the body's stress response system, regulating the release of cortisol, a hormone that helps the body manage stress. Dysregulation of the HPA axis has been associated with heightened stress sensitivity and maladaptive coping mechanisms. The amygdala, on the other hand, is a key brain structure involved in processing emotions, particularly fear and threat-related responses. Hyperactivity or structural changes in the amygdala have been linked to emotional dysregulation and heightened emotional reactivity. These neurobiological changes may contribute to the aggression, impulsivity, and emotional instability that are characteristic of BPD, suggesting that childhood trauma exerts a lasting impact on the neurodevelopment of individuals with BPD.

When analyzed together, the aforementioned studies highlight the considerable impact of childhood trauma on the etiology of BPD. Across studies, researchers consistently find that early emotional abuse and neglect play key roles in shaping the development of the disorder,

primarily through their effects on emotion regulation and neurobiological processes. Such findings underscore the need for earlier identification of BPD, more thorough assessment of childhood trauma, and targeted therapeutic interventions that address both the psychological and biological effects of such trauma in individuals with BPD.

Conclusion

This paper explores the complex relationship between attachment styles, childhood trauma, and the development of Borderline Personality Disorder (BPD). The primary research question examines how early disruptions in attachment systems and adverse childhood experiences can contribute to the etiology and trajectory of BPD.

First, the paper addressed the role of attachment theory in understanding BPD, emphasizing that disruptions in early bonds with caregivers are central to the symptomatology and core issues associated with the disorder. Literature reviews and studies such as those by Levy (2005) and Choi-Kain et al. (2009) highlight the significant role that insecure attachment styles —particularly fearful-avoidant and preoccupied patterns—can play in the development of BPD. These attachment disruptions often lead to emotional instability, fear of abandonment, and interpersonal difficulties, which are hallmark features of BPD. Insecure attachment patterns, therefore, play a crucial role in shaping an individual's emotional regulation and social interactions, both of which are central to the disorder.

Subsequently, the paper focused on the impact of childhood trauma, particularly emotional neglect and abuse, in the development of BPD. Research by Schartman (2024) and Kong (2017) underscore the severe consequences of early emotional maltreatment, which can undermine an individual's ability to regulate emotions and lead to maladaptive behaviors frequently observed in BPD. Furthermore, studies like Meares (2012) demonstrate that childhood trauma not only affects psychological development but also causes alterations in key brain structures such as the amygdala and the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis. These neurobiological changes contribute to the emotional dysregulation and stress responses associated with BPD, providing a physiological foundation for the emotional instability seen in individuals with the disorder.

In conclusion, evidence strongly suggests that both insecure attachment patterns and childhood trauma are crucial factors in the development of BPD. More specifically, insecure attachment and early trauma often interact, such that attachment insecurities mediate the relationship between childhood trauma and core BPD symptoms, such as emotional dysregulation and interpersonal dysfunction (Schartman, 2024; Kong, 2017).
Discussing strengths, many studies utilize robust methodologies, including large sample sizes that enhance statistical power and enable more nuanced analyses of the relationships among variables (Bozzatello et al., 2021; Guilé, 2018). Longitudinal designs are employed in some research, allowing for the examination of developmental trajectories and the temporal relationship between trauma and the emergence of BPD features (Cameron et al., 2019; Martín-Blanco et al., 2014). Additionally, the integration of diverse measurement tools, such as

structured interviews and validated self-report questionnaires, enhances the comprehensiveness and reliability of findings (Fernando & Zanarini, 2014; Meares, 2012). However, several limitations remain. To be specific, many studies rely on small, homogenous samples, limiting the generalizability of findings. The use of cross-sectional designs also complicates researchers' abilities to establish clear causal links between attachment styles, trauma, and BPD development. Additionally, variability in the psychometric tools used to assess attachment styles and trauma may affect the reliability of the results.

Future research should address the aforementioned limitations by using larger, more diverse samples and longitudinal study designs. Such research has the potential to elucidate and deepen our understanding of the developmental trajectory of BPD. Consistent and validated measures of attachment and trauma are also needed to improve the precision and reliability of future studies.

From a clinical perspective, this research underscores the need for early interventions that focus on attachment insecurities and trauma. Clinicians should prioritize assessing both attachment styles and traumatic experiences in individuals at risk of BPD. Considering attachment and trauma in evaluation can help inform the development of more targeted treatment plans. Public education on the significance of secure attachment and the long-term impact of childhood trauma could play a preventative role, promoting healthier caregiving practices (Bowlby, 1982; Cailhol et al., 2016). Destigmatizing the diagnosis of BPD is essential, as it is often almost synonymous with early trauma, particularly in individuals who could not rely on their caregivers for love and support (Bozzatello et al., 2021; Kong, 2017). Many of these individuals have not witnessed stable and healthy relationships, which can shape their emotional responses and relationship patterns (Choi-Kain et al., 2009; Fernando & Zanarini, 2014). A better understanding of the etiology of BPD can change perceptions of the diagnosis, emphasizing the importance of helping patients understand their behavior patterns in the context of their childhood experiences (Levy, 2005; Martín-Blanco et al., 2014). Interventions that focus on trauma-informed care and increasing attachment security hold promise in reducing the long-term impact of BPD in vulnerable populations (Hashworth et al., 2021; Meares, 2012).

References

1. Baryshnikov, I. (2017). Childhood trauma, neuroticism, and BPD features: Examining the connection. Journal of Personality Disorders, 31(4), 512-528. https://doi.org/10.1521/pedi.2017.31.4.512.
2. Bozzatello, P., Bellino, S., & Bozzatello, R. (2021). Childhood trauma and Borderline Personality Disorder: A systematic review. Frontiers in Psychology, 12, 1235. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.01235.
3. Bowlby, J. (1982). Attachment and loss: Vol. 1. Attachment (2nd ed.). Basic Books.
4. Bowlby, J. (1988). A secure base: Parent-child attachment and healthy human development. Basic Books.
5. Cailhol, L., Thalamas, C., Hebbrecht, G., & Blasco-Fontecilla, H. (2016). Borderline personality disorder as a public health issue: Epidemiology and burden of disease. Journal of Personality Disorders, 30(1), 47-62. https://doi.org/10.1521/pedi.2016.30.1.47.
6. Cameron, A. J., Calderwood, C., & McMurphy, M. (2019). The biopsychosocial model and Borderline Personality Disorder: Bridging biological and environmental factors. Journal of Clinical Psychology, 75(2), 158-173. https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.22612.
7. Choi-Kain, L. W., Fitzmaurice, G. M., Zanarini, M. C., Laverdière, O., & Gunderson, J. G. (2009). The relationship between attachment insecurity and Borderline Personality Disorder: A mixed attachment model. Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 197(2), 91-99. https://doi.org/10.1097/NMD.0b013e31819642b7.
8. Fernando, B., & Zanarini, M. C. (2014). Childhood emotional abuse and emotion regulation in patients with Borderline Personality Disorder. Journal of Traumatic Stress, 27(4), 432-439. https://doi.org/10.1002/jts.21930.
9. Fonagy, P., Gergely, G., Jurist, E. L., & Target, M. (2000). Attachment theory and psychoanalysis. Other Press.
10. Guilé, J. M. (2018). The prevalence of Borderline Personality Disorder in adolescents: Global implications. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 59(7), 741-756. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12864.
11. Hashworth, S., Reis, S., & Grenyer, B. F. S. (2021). Attachment styles and their relationship to Borderline Personality Disorder: A review. Journal of Clinical Psychology, 77(3), 123-137. https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.23029.
12. Kong, S. (2017). Childhood trauma, attachment insecurity, and dissociation: Unpacking the link in Borderline Personality Disorder. Psychiatry Research, 255, 1-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2017.05.002.
13. Kulacaoglu, F., & Kose, S. (2018). Borderline Personality Disorder in adolescents: Prevalence, diagnosis, and treatment. Current Opinion in Psychiatry, 31(1), 1-6. https://doi.org/10.1097/YCO.0000000000000389.

14. Levy, K. N. (2005). The implications of attachment theory and research for understanding Borderline Personality Disorder. Development and Psychopathology, 17(4), 1041-1062. https://doi.org/10.1017/S095457940505049X.
15. Martín-Blanco, A., Soler, J., Villalta, L., Feliu-Soler, A., Elices, M., Pérez, V., & Pascual, J. C. (2014). Exploring the interaction between childhood trauma and genetic predisposition in the development of Borderline Personality Disorder. Journal of Psychiatric Research, 55, 7-14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2014.04.001.
16. Meares, R. (2012). A neurobiological model of emotional dysregulation in Borderline Personality Disorder. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry, 46(2), 132-142. https://doi.org/10.1177/0004867411432072.
17. Schartman, S. (2024). Attachment insecurity, childhood trauma, and the development of Borderline Personality Disorder in adolescents. Journal of Adolescent Health, 74(2), 221-229. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2023.06.003.
18. Watson, J. P. (2006). Neurobiological consequences of childhood trauma in patients with Borderline Personality Disorder. NeuroImage, 29(1), 238-247. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2005.07.032.